

Date: Mon, 20 Dec 93 04:30:13 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #535
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 20 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 535

Today's Topics:

 Power supply comaptibility...please HELP!!
 The 10-meters band - No CW required ? (2 msgs)
 Why should I bother?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 19 Dec 1993 16:39:27 GMT
From: noc.near.net!news.cs.brandeis.edu!slanka@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Power supply comaptibility...please HELP!!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Hi Folks!

I'll appreciate if anyone can let me know if the YAESU FP-800 AC
power supply (max 20A) can be used without any problems with the
Kenwood TS-450SAT (as per manual requires 20.5 A for full power -
100 Watts output).

I was concerned about the difference of 0.5A since the appropriate
KENOWOOD power supply is rated at 20.5 Ams. Thanks a lot.
please send mail to:

slanka@chaos.cs.brandeis.edu or
ishi@media.mit.edu

Ishantha

N10SB

Date: Wed, 15 Dec 93 00:41:34 EST
From: yeshua.marcam.com!zip.eecs.umich.edu!caen!malgudi.oar.net!wariat.org!mystis!
dan@uunet.uu.net
Subject: The 10-meters band - No CW required ?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

```
> In article <rcrw90-131293151927@node_13059.aieg.mot.com> rcrw90@email.mot.com
> >In article <JuJeec4w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan
> >Pickersgill N8PKV) wrote:
> >
> >> Hummm... Place it deserves. How about a monument in Washington, were we
> >> honor all great things of the PAST.
> >>
> >> (My appologies Jeff, I _HAD_ to!)
> >
> >Gee maybe in one of the washrooms of a monument there? Then Jeff can enjoy
> >it together with his favorite people. :-)
>
> Oh, now don't get me started on that again! Notice how quiet .policy has
> been lately? .misc leads .policy by about 3 to 1.
>
> Hey, that reminds me, where's Robert?
```

Anyone notice, isn't Robert is posting from a new account now? I wonder if his postmaster got tired of hearing bitching about his anti-social behavior. Or maybe his kindergarden teacher found him using the computer during finger painting time.

--

```
-----
| Dan Pickersgill N8PKV      | 'Pots have handles, Magazines have |
| dan@mystis.wariat.org     | Personals, Hams have Names'      |
|                             |                                     |
|           Crime in America is a thing of the PAST!!!           |
|           The Brady Bill is Law.                                |
|                             |                                     |
-----
```

Date: Sun, 19 Dec 1993 18:10:39 GMT
From: brunix!maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu!md@uunet.uu.net

Subject: The 10-meters band - No CW required ?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker) writes:

> Inconsistent? You're probably right. But here's a better solution:
> Let's move CB above 30 MHz.

It already is. Its called 2 meters.

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- Population Studies & Training Center
-- Brown University, Box 1916, Providence, RI 02912
-- (401) 863-7284

Date: Wed, 15 Dec 93 01:29:30 EST
From: nntp.ucsb.edu!library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!nigel.msen.com!caen!malgudi.oar.net!wariat.org!
mystis!dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Why should I bother?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders) writes:

> In article <36934@ksr.com> jfw@ksr.com (John F. Woods) writes:
> >
> >As you can see from this example, there is an alternative: extract enough
> >of the original text to provide context, without wasting bandwidth by includ
> >the entire text. Few newsreading programs can automatically display the tex
> >of the article to which you are replying, at least in any convenient fashion
> >so including that context is quite useful, unless your own response is writt
> >to entirely stand on its own without context.
> >
>
> The major reason for including some contextual information has not yet
> been posted here: regardless of whether your newsreader makes it convenient
> to glance at the article being replied to, differences in propagation quality
> and timing between different sites' news feeds may make the parent article
> unavailable. This happens quite often, particularly for those of us on
> "the fringe" or those of us in large organizations whose news passes through
> a tiny "eye of the needle" gateway prior to being forwarded to us.
>
> It's particularly annoying to read a post which requires but does not contain
> context, when it's impossible to retrieve the context from a previous article

>

Kevin is right! I often get replys with quotes and a few messages down
get the original that was quoted. Different route, different times.

--

```
-----  
| Dan Pickersgill  N8PKV      | 'Pots have handles, Magazines have |  
| dan@mystis.wariat.org      |      Personals, Hams have Names'  |  
-----
```

```
|           Crime in America is a thing of the PAST!!!           |  
|           The Brady Bill is Law.                               |  
-----
```

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #535
